

BRADFORD CORE STRATEGY EIP HOUSING REDISTRIBUTION PROPOSALS

Introduction

While the Council are confident in the overall approach and underlying principles behind the distribution of housing development as proposed in the Core Strategy Submission draft there have been a number of changes in background evidence which produce the circumstances necessary to justify a potential main modification to the plan.

The principles and drivers for the changes are as follows:

- To reflect debate about the HRA, the emerging statement of common ground;
- To reflect the discussions over the merits of re-instating Burley In Wharfedale and Menston as Local Growth Centres;
- To reflect the argument for some re-distribution back to those settlements whose housing targets has been decreased on the back of the HRA conclusions;
- The emerging SHLAA 3 land supply position which shows a shortfall in supply in the settlements of Shipley, Bradford NE and the Canal Road Corridor;
- To reflect minor adjustments in the light of objections made by English heritage to the proposed Haworth and Baildon targets;

The Council has attempted to minimise the changes required and maintain the overall urban focus and reflect the settlement hierarchy.

The Proposed Changes

	CSPD	Proposed	Change	CSFED (for info)	Key reasons
Bradford NE	4700	4400	-300	5000	SHLAA Land Supply
Shipley	1250	750	-500		SHLAA Land Supply World Heritage Site Impacts
Canal Road Corridor	3200	3100	-100	3000	SHLAA land Supply
Haworth	500	400	-100	600	English Heritage Objections
Baildon	450	350	-100	550	English Heritage Objections
Menston	400	600	+200	900	HRA Statement of Common Ground Re-instatement as Local Growth Centre
Burley In Wharfedale	200	700	+500	500	HRA Statement of Common Ground Re-instatement as Local Growth Centre
Ilkley	800	1000	+200	1300	HRA Statement of Common Ground Alignment with Population Proportionate Target Retains scope for employment land release
Silsden	1000	1200	+200	1700	HRA Statement of Common Ground

Affect on Settlement Hierarchy & proportions

	CSPD	Proposed	(CSFED)
Regional City	68%	66%	61%
Principal Towns	16%	16%	17%
Local Growth Centres	8%	11%	13%
Local Service Centres	8%	6%	8%